

The Role of Self-Determination Theory in Gamified Vocabulary Learning

Giovanna Mece

PhD Candidate and Lecturer

University of Tirana, Faculty of Foreign Languages, English Language Department

Abstract: Gamification has become an increasingly popular approach in language education, particularly in vocabulary acquisition. Rooted in Self-Determination Theory (SDT), which emphasizes the psychological needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness, gamified learning environments have enhanced motivation and engagement. This paper explores how SDT principles contribute to the effectiveness of gamified vocabulary learning, drawing on examples from language learning apps and classroom-based activities. By allowing learners to exercise choice, experience progress through feedback and rewards, and engage in social learning, gamification fosters intrinsic motivation and long-term retention. However, challenges such as over-reliance on extrinsic rewards and individual differences in gamification preferences highlight the need for balanced and adaptable learning designs. The study concludes by emphasizing the importance of meaningful game elements that align with SDT principles to ensure sustained engagement and effective vocabulary acquisition.

Keywords: Gamification, Self-Determination Theory, Autonomy, Competence, Relatedness, Intrinsic Motivation, Extrinsic Motivation, Language Learning, Vocabulary Acquisition, Engagement, Game-Based Learning, Motivation in Education

Introduction

Gamification has revolutionized language learning, allowing us to enjoy it more than ever. Adding game-like elements makes vocabulary practice engaging and fun. But why is gamification so effective? One key reason is Self-Determination Theory (SDT), developed by Deci and Ryan (1985, 2000). SDT proposes that people are intrinsically motivated when their three basic psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—are met. Students become more engaged when they feel in control of their learning, succeed, and connect with others. Here, we explore how these SDT principles can enhance vocabulary learning through gamification, with examples from effective language apps and classroom activities. SDT is a well-known psychological model that explains human motivation.

Intrinsic motivation—the desire to do things because they are enjoyable—flourishes when these three needs are satisfied: autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Gamification, which applies game design elements to non-game contexts, leverages these needs to boost engagement and motivation. Autonomy refers to the need to feel in control of one's actions and choices. Studies have shown that autonomy-supportive environments increase intrinsic motivation and interest (Deci & Ryan, 2017). In gamification, autonomy can be supported by giving users meaningful choices, such as selecting tasks, customizing avatars, or choosing learning paths (Deterding, Dixon, Khaled, & Nacke, 2011). For example, student-controlled learning systems that let learners choose their content tend to boost motivation and participation (Pink, 2009). Second, competence relies on feeling capable and accomplishing tasks. Games often feature increasing difficulty, immediate feedback, and rewards like badges and achievements to foster a sense of competence (Ryan, Rigby, & Przybylski, 2006). Evidence shows that gamified learning systems that provide instant, positive feedback enhance learners' sense of competence, increasing motivation and performance (Gee, 2003). Platforms like Kahoot! and Duolingo use progress trackers and rewards to build user competence and keep learners engaged (Nicholson, 2015). Lastly, relatedness is the need to feel connected to others. In gamification, this need is met through leaderboards, team challenges, and virtual communities that promote social interaction and belonging (Deci & Ryan, 1985). Multiplayer games and social learning environments tap into peer rivalries and cooperation to drive motivation (Kapp, 2012). Research indicates that students learning in cooperative, gamified settings show higher motivation and engagement than those in non-gamified environments (Hamari, Koivisto, & Sarsa, 2014). By fulfilling these three needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—gamification can boost intrinsic motivation and sustain long-term engagement. However, poorly designed gamification that relies heavily on extrinsic rewards like points and badges, which can undermine intrinsic motivation, may decrease engagement (Deci, Koestner, & Ryan, 1999). Therefore, effective gamification combines thoughtful game design with SDT principles to create rich, engaging, and meaningful learning experiences.

Making Learning Personal: Autonomy in Gamification

Maintaining student motivation requires autonomy. Students take more ownership of their learning when choosing how they want to study. By offering personalized learning paths and letting students select vocabulary themes and difficulty levels they want to focus on, gamified vocabulary learning fosters autonomy. Learners can set their own pace with apps like Duolingo and Quizlet, making the process more tailored and engaging. Autonomy is also supported through choice-based activities. For example, *Influent* is an interactive game that immerses pupils in a virtual world where they can select which vocabulary terms to learn and explore different situations. Connecting words to real-life

scenarios enhances vocabulary development and builds a stronger connection to the subject. Self-paced growth is another example of autonomy in gamification. Many vocabulary apps incorporate spaced repetition systems, allowing students to decide how often they review words. This self-directed approach helps learners focus more on words they find difficult while progressing with words they have already mastered. In a classroom, Kahoot! is an effective gamified tool that promotes autonomy. Students can choose which quizzes to take, set their challenge levels, and learn vocabulary in ways that suit their preferences. Research indicates that when learners have control over their learning, they are more motivated to engage in vocabulary tasks (Sanmugam & Harun, 2022). A meta-analysis by Sailer, Hense, Mayr, and Mandl (2023) explored the effects of gamification on students' intrinsic motivation and basic psychological needs. The study found that gamified interventions positively affected students' perceptions of autonomy and relatedness, though the impact on perceived competence was minimal. This suggests that while gamification can boost feelings of autonomy and social connection, it may not significantly enhance students' sense of competence (Sailer et al., 2023). Still, it's vital to design gamified systems carefully to avoid weakening intrinsic motivation. The overjustification effect shows that external rewards can reduce intrinsic interest in an activity. Deci, Koestner, and Ryan (1999) found that physical rewards could diminish intrinsic motivation, especially when viewed as controlling. Therefore, elements of gamification should encourage independence rather than impose external control (Deci, Koestner, & Ryan, 1999). Real-world examples of autonomy-supportive gamification include platforms like Duolingo. Luis von Ahn, CEO of Duolingo, emphasized the use of AI to customize educational experiences, allowing users to choose their learning paths and engage in interactive activities. This approach aligns with SDT by giving students a sense of autonomy and personalized involvement, which enhances motivation (von Ahn, 2023). Incorporating autonomy into gamified learning environments is key to fostering intrinsic motivation. Designers should focus on creating options and minimizing controlling features, ensuring that gamification serves as a tool for personalized and effective learning.

Building Confidence: Competence in Gamified Learning

Competence refers to a student's sense of achievement and growth in learning. When learners feel capable and see progress, their motivation increases. Gamification enhances competence by offering instant feedback, progress tracking, and adaptive challenges. Immediate feedback allows students to correct mistakes quickly, reinforcing correct vocabulary use. Progress tracking, such as experience points (XP), earning distinctions (badges), and level-ups, gives students visible markers of their achievements. The sense of progression helps sustain motivation, as students strive to reach higher levels. Duolingo's level system is a prime example. Learners earn points for correct answers, unlock new

challenges, and receive positive reinforcement, which keeps them engaged. This structured reward system strengthens their confidence and encourages consistent learning habits (Hamari et al., 2014). Moreover, adaptive challenges ensure that students are neither overwhelmed nor bored. Many gamified vocabulary apps adjust their difficulty based on the learner's performance, creating a personalized challenge that maintains engagement. Students who experience the right balance between effort and success are more likely to persevere and improve their vocabulary skills. A study by Hanus and Fox (2015) explored the impact of gamification on students' motivation and learning outcomes. Their findings indicated that while certain gamification strategies, such as leaderboards and badges, can positively impact motivation, poorly implemented elements may reduce long-term engagement if they fail to provide meaningful feedback or an appropriate challenge level (Hanus & Fox, 2015). Competence is closely tied to the concept of the zone of proximal development (ZPD) (Vygotsky, 1978), which suggests that learning is most effective when tasks are neither too easy nor too difficult but rather fall within a learner's optimal challenge range. Gamified systems can support this by adapting difficulty levels dynamically. For instance, game-based learning platforms like Kahoot! and Duolingo adjust difficulty based on a learner's past performance, keeping them in the ZPD and fostering a sense of progress (Deterding, 2015). Additionally, constructive feedback plays a vital role in competence development. Studies show that instant feedback in gamified learning environments enhances students' self-efficacy and confidence (Gee, 2003). This is because feedback mechanisms, such as progress bars and skill-level indicators, provide learners with a clear sense of improvement, reinforcing their belief in their ability to succeed. However, overemphasizing competition in gamification can sometimes have negative effects on competence. Werbach and Hunter (2012) caution that leaderboards and ranking systems may discourage learners who struggle to keep up, leading to a decline in confidence and motivation. To counter this, game designers often incorporate different challenges, where tasks become progressively more difficult as learners build their skills, ensuring a continuous sense of achievement. In summary, gamification supports competence by offering structured challenges, adaptive difficulty, and meaningful feedback. When designed effectively, it not only fosters confidence and mastery but also leads to deeper engagement and sustained motivation in learning environments.

Learning Together: The Role of Relatedness

Humans are social learners, and the sense of connection with peers can significantly enhance vocabulary acquisition. Gamification supports relatedness by encouraging collaborative learning, friendly competition, and peer interaction. Collaborative learning occurs in multiplayer vocabulary games where students work together to solve challenges. This fosters a sense of teamwork and shared achievement, making the

learning process more enjoyable. Friendly competition, such as leaderboards, adds motivation while maintaining a fun atmosphere. Students are encouraged to improve their vocabulary skills as they compete in a non-threatening way.

Quizlet Live exemplifies the concept of relatedness within gamified education. Learners collaborate in teams to match vocabulary terms with their definitions. Research conducted by Reinders and Wattana in 2014 revealed that social interactions in gamified learning enhance vocabulary retention and elevate motivation levels. When students perceive themselves as part of a learning community, they become more involved and committed to their growth. Relatedness, one of the core elements of Self-Determination Theory (SDT), highlights the inherent human need to connect with others and cultivate meaningful relationships (Deci & Ryan, 1985). By nurturing a sense of community, cooperation, and shared success, relatedness can amplify motivation in gamified educational contexts. A study by Ryan, Rigby, and Przybylski in 2006 indicated that social interactions in gaming significantly influence enjoyment and engagement levels. Features associated with gamification, such as multiplayer options, peer assessments, and team challenges, leverage this concept to enhance learning outcomes. For example, research by Hamari and Koivisto in 2015 found that social elements in gamified frameworks, like leaderboards and collaborative tasks, positively affected user behavior by increasing motivation through fostering connections. Gamification encourages relatedness through teamwork and collective challenges. Gamified platforms such as Kahoot! and Classcraft promote student collaboration and peer assistance. Studies have indicated that learners who engage in cooperative game-based activities tend to achieve better academic results and establish stronger social bonds (Kapp, 2012). This is particularly beneficial for language learning, where gamified group activities allow students to improve their communication abilities in a fun manner. Furthermore, peer feedback and recognition contribute to creating an inclusive learning environment. A sense of belonging is promoted as well. Deterding's 2015 research underscored that gamification achievements and badges are more effective when they possess social relevance, such as being awarded by peers instead of an automated system. Social rewards like virtual high-fives or collective celebrations of accomplishments can enhance motivation and encourage ongoing participation in the learning process. However, designing gamified learning environments that promote relatedness requires careful consideration. Overly competitive elements, such as harsh ranking systems, may alienate lower-performing students, leading to disengagement (Nicholson, 2012). Instead, inclusive mechanics like cooperative quests, team progress indicators, and shared rewards help balance competition and community-building. In summary, relatedness plays a crucial role in gamified learning by fostering collaboration, social support, and a sense of belonging. When learners feel connected to their peers, their intrinsic motivation increases, making learning more engaging and meaningful.

Striking a Balance: Intrinsic vs. Extrinsic Motivation

While gamification provides external motivators like points and badges, students must remain driven by intrinsic motivation. If learners only focus on rewards, they may lose interest in vocabulary learning once the incentives disappear. To prevent this, gamified learning should emphasize meaningful engagement, reflection tools, and story-based learning. Story-based vocabulary games, such as Word Realms, integrate narrative-driven learning, making vocabulary acquisition feel purposeful rather than mechanical. When students encounter words in engaging contexts, they are more likely to remember and use them effectively. Motivation is one of the most critical factors in shaping students' engagement, persistence, and overall success in learning. In gamified education, both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation play a role in driving participation, yet their effects differ significantly. Intrinsic motivation arises from an individual's personal interest and enjoyment of an activity, whereas extrinsic motivation is influenced by external rewards or pressures (Deci & Ryan, 1985). While gamification often integrates both types, striking the right balance is essential. Research suggests that while extrinsic motivators can provide an initial boost in engagement, long-term learning outcomes are best achieved when students develop intrinsic motivation (Ryan & Deci, 2017). However, over-reliance on external rewards can undermine a learner's intrinsic interest in an activity, leading to what is known as the overjustification effect (Deci, Koestner, & Ryan, 1999). Therefore, effective gamification strategies should not merely rely on external incentives but should also encourage learners to develop a genuine, self-driven interest in their education. Intrinsic motivation is fundamental to sustained engagement and deep learning. It is driven by a learner's inherent desire to explore, understand, and master a subject, often leading to higher levels of creativity and problem-solving (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990). According to Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), three core psychological needs support intrinsic motivation: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. When students feel they have control over their learning choices, they are more likely to engage deeply. Similarly, when they experience a sense of competence—achieved through appropriately challenging tasks and constructive feedback—their motivation grows. Relatedness, or the feeling of being connected to others in a learning environment, further strengthens their intrinsic drive by fostering collaboration and meaningful social interactions (Hamari & Koivisto, 2015). Gamified learning can cultivate intrinsic motivation through storytelling, role-playing, and immersive experiences that make learning enjoyable and personally meaningful (Deterding, 2015). When students engage in quests, simulations, or interactive problem-solving challenges, they experience a state of flow, where learning becomes an enjoyable and fulfilling activity rather than a task imposed upon them (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990).

Extrinsic motivation, on the other hand, is based on external rewards and incentives that encourage participation. These rewards can take the form of points, badges, leaderboards,

certificates, or tangible prizes (Werbach & Hunter, 2012). While these elements can effectively increase motivation in the short term, research warns that excessive reliance on extrinsic rewards can lead students to focus more on earning incentives rather than truly engaging with the learning material (Deci, Koestner, & Ryan, 2001). For instance, students who are rewarded solely for completing tasks may begin to see learning as a means to an end, reducing their willingness to engage in tasks without rewards. However, extrinsic motivators are not inherently harmful when designed thoughtfully. They can serve as entry points, helping to initiate engagement before students develop an internal drive to learn. For example, leaderboards can create a sense of competition, but when designed correctly, they can encourage self-improvement rather than just ranking students against one another (Hamari, 2017). Similarly, badges and distinctions can be effective when tied to meaningful achievements rather than being awarded too easily. When students initially enjoy an activity but later become dependent on rewards, they may lose interest once the rewards are removed (Deci, Koestner, & Ryan, 1999). This phenomenon highlights the need for educators to use extrinsic motivators strategically. Research suggests that incorporating variable reward schedules, similar to those used in game design, can sustain engagement without making learners dependent on predictable incentives (Skinner, 1953). Additionally, emphasizing mastery rather than competition encourages students to improve their own skills instead of simply outperforming others (Kapp, 2012). Constructive feedback alongside rewards ensures that students focus on progress and learning rather than just external validation (Gee, 2003).

To achieve a balanced gamification approach, educators must design systems that transition students from extrinsic to intrinsic motivation. Initially, external rewards can capture attention and encourage participation. However, as students progress, the learning experience should become more self-directed, emphasizing autonomy, personal growth, and curiosity. If both design systems are properly balanced, gamification makes learning enjoyable and fosters lifelong learners who remain motivated even beyond the classroom.

The Role of Emotional Engagement in Gamified Learning

Emotional engagement is a key component of gamified vocabulary learning and influences how students connect with and remember new language concepts. Feelings like curiosity, excitement, and joy have been seen to boost cognitive processing, leading to a greater willingness among students to continue with language learning activities (Pekrun, 2006). Gamification takes advantage of these emotions by incorporating features such as storytelling, avatars, and interactive challenges, fostering a sense of adventure and personal connection. For instance, vocabulary games that weave in narratives—like solving word puzzles to unravel a mystery—can encourage emotional investment, making the learning experience more engaging and memorable. A well-structured gamified

approach harnesses positive emotional cues to improve retention. Research indicates that when students link vocabulary learning to enjoyable and fulfilling experiences, they are more inclined to remember and utilize new words in relevant situations (Plass et al., 2015). Digital educational platforms incorporate colorful visuals, captivating sounds, and interactive elements that activate the brain's reward system, boost motivation, and persistence.

This neurological reaction is essential in vocabulary study, as ongoing exposure and engagement promote deeper cognitive processing and facilitate long-term retention (Howard-Jones, 2014). However, not all emotional responses to gamification are positive. If poorly designed, gamified learning environments can lead to frustration, anxiety, or disengagement. Excessive competition, rigid point-based systems, or time constraints may create pressure rather than motivation, particularly for students who struggle with the content. Research by D'Mello and Graesser (2012) highlights the impact of emotions such as confusion and frustration in learning environments, suggesting that while mild levels of these emotions can enhance engagement by pushing students to resolve challenges, excessive frustration can lead to cognitive overload and withdrawal from learning activities. This raises an important consideration for educators: gamification must be carefully structured to provide challenge without discouragement. To optimize emotional engagement, gamification should create a balance between challenge and support. Adaptive difficulty levels, real-time feedback, and encouragement mechanisms (such as unlocking rewards for effort rather than just accuracy) can help sustain motivation and prevent negative emotional responses. Additionally, incorporating elements of player agency, where learners have choices in their learning paths, can increase feelings of autonomy and enjoyment, further reinforcing engagement (Ryan & Deci, 2000). When students feel a sense of ownership over their learning process, they are more likely to stay motivated and persist through challenges. Social interactions also play a key role in the emotional dynamics of gamified learning. Collaborative game elements, such as team-based challenges or peer feedback systems, can foster a sense of community and shared success, which enhances engagement (Kapp, 2012). Positive emotional experiences derived from social reinforcement—such as encouragement from peers or recognition in leaderboards—can amplify intrinsic motivation and contribute to a deeper emotional connection to language learning. However, competitive aspects of gamification must be carefully managed, as excessive emphasis on rankings and performance comparisons can lead to stress and disengagement, particularly among students who struggle with vocabulary acquisition. Moreover, the role of failure in gamification is another critical factor in emotional engagement. Unlike traditional learning environments, where failure is often perceived negatively, well-designed gamified experiences frame failure as part of the learning process. Research suggests that low-stakes failure, where students can retry challenges or receive constructive feedback,

promotes a growth mindset and encourages perseverance (Gee, 2007). This shift in perception reduces fear of making mistakes, allowing students to take risks in their language learning journey without the pressure of rigid assessment structures. Future research should explore the long-term impact of emotional engagement in gamified vocabulary learning, particularly how positive emotional experiences contribute to sustained motivation beyond the game itself. Understanding how to design gamification in a way that fosters resilience, curiosity, and intrinsic motivation could lead to more effective and emotionally intelligent learning environments, ensuring that students not only engage with vocabulary learning but also develop a lasting enthusiasm for language acquisition. Additionally, further investigation into cultural variations in emotional engagement with gamification could provide insights into how learners from different educational backgrounds and motivational profiles respond to emotionally-driven gamified experiences.

Challenges and Considerations

Despite its benefits, gamification in vocabulary learning presents certain challenges. Over-reliance on rewards can reduce motivation once external incentives are withdrawn (Hanus & Fox, 2015). Additionally, not all students respond equally to gamified methods; some may prefer traditional approaches. Cultural differences also influence outcomes; while some students excel in competitive gamification environments, others benefit more from cooperative learning (Reeve, 2016). Educators must consider these factors when designing gamified vocabulary activities, making sure game elements accommodate diverse student preferences and learning styles. Gamified vocabulary instruction has gained growing attention in educational research as a creative way to boost engagement and retention. However, despite its promise, implementing gamification in language learning comes with hurdles. A key framework for understanding these challenges is Self-Determination Theory (SDT), introduced by Deci and Ryan (1985). SDT suggests that learners are most motivated when three psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—are fulfilled. When applied to gamified vocabulary learning, these needs greatly influence how students engage with game elements, retain new words, and maintain motivation over time. Poorly designed gamification or those not addressing these needs can cause disengagement, frustration, or dependence on external rewards. One major challenge is balancing extrinsic and intrinsic motivation. While features like points, badges, and leaderboards can promote participation, they often emphasize external motivation. This focus can be problematic if students prioritize earning rewards over internalizing vocabulary. Research shows that overuse of external rewards can cause the overjustification effect, where learners see their effort as driven by external incentives rather than personal growth, ultimately diminishing intrinsic motivation (Deci, Koestner, & Ryan, 1999). To counter this, gamified environments should include meaningful

challenges, opportunities for creativity, and tasks that foster self-expression, helping students connect personally with their learning. Another challenge is ensuring that gamified vocabulary learning supports a sense of competence. According to SDT, competence is built when learners see progress, get constructive feedback, and feel capable of mastering skills (Ryan & Deci, 2017). However, gamification can sometimes produce feelings of inadequacy if difficulty levels are not well balanced. Overly challenging tasks without enough support may discourage students, while overly simple tasks might fail to give a sense of achievement. Effective gamification involves adaptive difficulty, personalized feedback, and incremental goals to help learners develop mastery of new words. The third SDT component, relatedness, emphasizes the importance of social interaction and belonging. Traditional vocabulary learning can feel isolating, but gamification offers opportunities for collaboration through multiplayer challenges, peer feedback, and team competitions. Nevertheless, overemphasizing competition can create a counterproductive environment where students feel pressured rather than supported. Research indicates that cooperative learning—where students work together toward shared goals—can boost motivation and retention in gamified settings (Deterding, 2015). Therefore, gamified vocabulary approaches should find a balance between competition and cooperation, helping students feel connected to their peers while maintaining reasonable challenges. Beyond psychological factors, there are practical and technological hurdles. Not all institutions have access to advanced gamification platforms, and some teachers may lack the training to create effective game-based experiences. Additionally, some students prefer traditional methods or may find the cognitive load of gamified tasks overwhelming. Research by Hamari et al. (2016) highlights that gamification's success depends on learner preferences, instructional design, and context. Consequently, gamified vocabulary learning should be flexible, allowing students to choose activities that suit their learning styles. From my perspective as an educator, I believe gamified vocabulary learning has great potential to foster long-term engagement and retention. However, it should not be a one-size-fits-all solution. I've seen students thrive in gamified settings where they feel autonomous and competent, but I've also noticed that overly competitive setups can cause anxiety rather than motivation. In my teaching, I view gamification as a supplement rather than a replacement for traditional methods, providing both structured instruction and opportunities for exploratory, game-based learning. I also find that adding storytelling and role-playing elements makes gamified activities more engaging and enhances students' intrinsic motivation by giving them a purpose beyond merely earning points or rewards. Ultimately, the success of gamified vocabulary learning depends on thoughtful design, adherence to psychological principles, and responsiveness to student needs. While challenges exist, they can be addressed through adaptive strategies, meaningful feedback, and focusing on long-term motivation instead of just short-term engagement. Future research should look into how different

gamification models affect various learner groups and how SDT can be used to refine techniques for sustainable, self-driven vocabulary growth.

Conclusion

Gamification, when designed with Self-Determination Theory in mind, can greatly improve vocabulary learning. By encouraging autonomy, competence, and relatedness, students stay engaged, motivated, and confident in their progress. However, to maximize its effectiveness, educators must balance gamified elements with meaningful learning experiences. Future research should look into long-term engagement with gamification and how cultural differences influence learner motivation. The research on gamified vocabulary learning through the lens of Self-Determination Theory (SDT) highlights both its transformative potential and the challenges of implementing it. By examining how autonomy, competence, and relatedness interact, we gain better insights into how gamification can either boost or hinder vocabulary acquisition, depending on its design and implementation. The findings show that when gamified learning environments are well-structured, they can lead to increased motivation, better retention, and more meaningful engagement. But if gamification relies too much on external rewards or doesn't address learners' psychological needs, it may only produce temporary engagement rather than long-term mastery. A key point from this study is that motivation in gamified learning is quite complex. While extrinsic motivation (like points, leaderboards, and awards) can give an initial boost, it's intrinsic motivation (such as personal growth, mastery, and meaningful interactions) that sustains long-term learning. The balance between competition and cooperation, challenge and accessibility, as well as structure and flexibility, plays a vital role in making sure that gamified vocabulary learning is effective, inclusive, and adaptable to different learners' needs. The research also emphasizes the importance of personalization in gamification. Not all learners respond to the same game mechanics in the same way. Adaptive learning strategies, personalized feedback, and meaningful goal-setting are essential for creating engaging and sustainable gamified vocabulary environments. As technology develops, integrating AI-driven adaptive learning models and immersive experiences like VR-based gamified language learning could open new doors for boosting student motivation and performance. Another important conclusion is that teachers must stay actively involved in the gamification process. The success of game-based vocabulary learning depends not only on the technology or mechanics used but also on how well these elements align with teaching goals. Teachers should be thoughtful designers and facilitators, making sure gamification isn't just a surface-level engagement tool but a strategic method for deeper learning. This involves including metacognitive strategies, real-world applications, and social learning opportunities to make vocabulary learning more meaningful and relevant. Overall, the study shows that while gamified vocabulary learning is innovative and

promising, it does have limitations. Some learners might struggle with too much focus on rewards, and others might find gamified tasks distracting rather than helpful. Additionally, factors like limited access to technology, gaps in teacher training, and resistance to changing traditional curricula can slow down the widespread use of gamified methods. Addressing these challenges requires further research, professional development for teachers, and institutional support to implement gamification effectively. Based on these findings, future studies should explore the long-term effects of gamified vocabulary learning beyond initial motivation. While many studies confirm its short-term benefits, fewer examine its impact on deep learning, knowledge transfer, and retention over time. Also, research into how different student groups—such as varying age, proficiency, and learning styles—respond to gamification can help develop more targeted and inclusive models. Ultimately, gamification should not replace traditional vocabulary learning but serve as an enhancement—a tool that, if thoughtfully designed, can empower learners, promote self-motivation, and create engaging language-learning experiences. By aligning gamification with Self-Determination Theory, educators and researchers can unlock its full potential while managing its challenges, ensuring learners don't just play the game but truly participate in language acquisition.

References

1. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268.
2. Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "gamification". *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic Mind Trek Conference*, 9-15.
3. Gee, J. P. (2007). *What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy*. Palgrave Macmillan.
4. Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does gamification work? – A literature review of empirical studies on gamification. *Proceedings of the 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 3025-3034.
5. Kapp, K. M. (2012). *The gamification of learning and instruction: Game-based methods and strategies for training and education*. Wiley.
6. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford Publications.
7. Werbach, K., & Hunter, D. (2012). *For the win: How game thinking can revolutionize your business*. Wharton Digital Press.
8. Zichermann, G., & Cunningham, C. (2011). *Gamification by design: Implementing game mechanics in web and mobile apps*. O'Reilly Media.